Dear Dick and Howard.

I have heard from Rhoeds that he has enlarged the shirt tabes and the tie nick for me. I'll let you know whether they show enything when I get them. That should be soon, for his latterns is dated 2/12.

He also gave me the date they made the picture of 399 for John as about 5/28/66. This interests me much, for it is not too long after I showed John my picture! It is not recent. So much for John and his intentions as reflected in letters he was then writing me.

He has not responded to the letters of which I kept you informed. I sent Dick copies.

The guy who has been doing all the interpretive forensic pathology in the Bel Air bombing is guess who Fisher's first essistant.

I now have CE1126, Burkley's interestingly truncated version of the traumatic events. Where they deal with what Bishop does, they confirm Bishop. They also omit the obvious. However, howard may went to know that when he got to Parkland, he went to the head of the stretther and looked. Considering the nature and purpose of his report, who can complain that there is no single word of observation, there or at Bethesda (He did leave the room there from time to time). He also names Kenny and Canada, besides Gallowey, as being there for the autopsy. The implication is all of it. He takes full credit for the removal of the body from Texas, never indicating it was by force. \*\*

And it is not dated until 11/26.

ne tells of explaining to Mrs. JFK why the body had to go to a hospital- so they could match against a rifle. He talks of but a single shorter. As my earlier memo quotes him, he says it should be a military hospital for "security"- of a corpse!

While I do not so interpret it, this invites a conspiratorial interpretation.

Sincerely.